1	marked for identification as Bureau
2	Exhibit 219 was received into
3	evidence.)
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 227. Do you object
5	to this exhibit?
6	MR. TOPEL: Since none of the parties to this
7	document are have been examined during discovery or are
8	going to be examined, I would not object to it subject to the
9	same limitation that Mr. Cohen offered to show that
10	Mr. Sebastian was no, I, I think I will not object to it
11	subject to it being tied up.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, is that satisfactory to
13	the Bureau?
14	MR. SHOOK: That is satisfactory.
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 227 is received.
16	(Whereupon, the document previously
17	marked for identification as Bureau
18	Exhibit 227 was received into
19	evidence.)
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The next one is 234, and again
21	those are minutes, and I will receive the exhibit.
22	(Whereupon, the document previously
23	marked for identification as Bureau
24 25	Exhibit 234 was received into
-•	evidence.)

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 235. Objection?
2	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor. I just don't
3	understand the relevance of the document.
4	MR. SHOOK: Basically we're this is a prelude to
5	the annual meeting that, that took place, and it's simply to,
6	you know, reflect that what Paul Crouch is doing, that he's
7	informing all the people who work at TBN that this is when the
8	meeting is going to be, and we have other documents which
9	will which, with testimony, we believe would show that
10	NMTV's meeting was taking place at the same time as the TBN
11	meetings were, and while we have separate minutes, we don't
12	necessarily think we had a separate meeting as such.
13	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 235 is received.
14	(Whereupon, the document previously
15	marked for identification as Bureau
16	Exhibit 235 was received into
17	evidence.)
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 240? Do you object to that one?
19	MR. TOPEL: One second, Your Honor.
20	(Pause.)
21	MR. TOPEL: Well, subject, subject to your prior
22	rulings, Your Honor, I I don't know the purpose for this
23	offer. If it's offered to show that Mrs. Duff was an employee
24	of TBN and received the memo
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, let's find out from the

1	Bureau.
2	MR. TOPEL: Yeah. One of the problems I've had,
3	Your Honor, and, you know, I hope you appreciate it, I've
4	tried very hard to minimize the number of objections that
5	were, and
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I do appreciate it and I think it's
7	very helpful when the Bureau gives us their reasons.
8	MR. TOPEL: Yeah, I but some of them I just
9	couldn't
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand that.
11	MR. TOPEL: couldn't discern.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: What is the Bureau's purpose?
13	MR. SHOOK: Paul Crouch is informing all the
14	department heads that, you know, the personnel supervisor is
15	supposed to be contacted with respect to certain activities.
16	A copy of this went to Jane Duff. This memo was issued less
17	than a year prior to the time some of the Portland vacancies
18	were filled, and some of those vacancies were filled by
19	persons who had been employed at Trinity, and so this is, this
20	is my purpose here is to try to use this as a starting
21	point for, perhaps, tying in some of the activities that were
22	utilized in filling positions
23	MR. TOPEL: Well
24 25	MR. SHOOK: and if that can be done, this will, I
	think, be pertinent. If not, then it won't be.

1	MR. TOPEL: Okay, subject to it being tied up
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 240 is received.
3	(Whereupon, the document previously
4	marked for identification as Bureau
5	Exhibit 240 was received into
6	evidence.)
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 246.
8	MR. TOPEL: Okay, I have no objection to that
9	subject to it being tied up.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 246 is received.
11	(Whereupon, the document previously
12	marked for identification as Bureau
13	Exhibit 246 was received into
14	evidence.)
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 250, another newsletter. What are
16	the pertinent portions?
17	MR. SHOOK: The third page, there is a reference to
18	Reverend Hill, and on the fourth page the list of stations.
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 250 is received.
20	(Whereupon, the document previously
21	marked for identification as Bureau
22	Exhibit 250 was received into
23	evidence.)
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, now we move on to
د ی	Volume 5.

1	COURT REPORTER: I have to change the tape, sir.
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes, go ahead.
3	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, Volume 5, the next
5	objection is to 253.
6	MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, before we get to that, one
7	item that's in Volume 4 that Mr. Shook and I are in agreement
8	about is this. In citing Exhibit 233, the second page of that
9	exhibit is a sale agreement and receipt for earnest money, but
10	the bottom portion of the page is cut off, and I have affirmed
11	with Mrs. Duff that she did Mrs. Duff signed that on behalf
12	of National Minority Television, and I believe Mr. Shook is,
13	is willing to accept that stipulation so that it will be
14	understood that the, the entirety of this document will show
15	that Mrs. Duff signed it.
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is that satisfactory with the
17	Bureau?
18	MR. SHOOK: Yes, sir.
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Glendale, also?
20	MR. COHEN: Yes.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, the stipulation is
22	accepted.
23	MR. TOPEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Now we proceed with 253, an
25	exemption application. Do you object to that exhibit?

MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, I, I just, I --1 2 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, let's find out from the 3 Bureau if that's --4 MR. TOPEL: I don't understand why it's offered. 5 JUDGE CHACHKIN: What's the reason, Mr. Shook? MR. SHOOK: Well, Your Honor, on the first page we 6 7 have an individual who previously has not been involved in 8 this picture in any way, and that is Eddie Roush, R-O-U-S-H, 9 This person identifies himself as general counsel. 10 of the things that we'd like to explore is, you know, how did this individual come to make that representation, and we 11 12 believe that could be done through the persons, you know, hold 13 themselves out as NMTV directors. The second aspect of this, 14 well, that representation again appears on page 3 when 15 Mr. Roush signs this document. Moving on to page 4, point 1.4, NMTV is providing a description of itself and we would 16 17 like to explore with NMTV people who are going to be here how 18 this description came to be, what individuals passed on that information. Moving on to page 5, point 1.5 there is a 19 20 reference to an attached exhibit which is incorporated into 21 this exemption request, and it's a listing of TBN affiliates, 22 of which NMTV is a part, and you move to page 22 of the 23 exhibit, and that's where you'll see the entities that are 24 associated, in the mind of Mr. Roush at least, as coming 25 within that definition.

1	MR. TOPEL: Well, that oh, yes, it says
2	Exhibit 1.5, okay.
3	MR. SHOOK: It's a little bit cut off down at the
4	bottom but
5	MR. TOPEL: No, I see it. I see it, that's fine.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 253 is received.
7	(Whereupon, the document previously
8	marked for identification as Bureau
9	Exhibit 253 was received into
10	evidence.)
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 254, that's one of those actions of
12	the board? Bureau Exhibit 254 is received.
13	(Whereupon, the document previously
14	marked for identification as Bureau
15	Exhibit 254 was received into
16	evidence.)
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 258, another one of these memos,
18	Paul Crouch. Any objection?
19	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, subject to an
20	explanation.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
22	MR. SHOOK: Again, this just concerns activities of
23	TBN in terms of how they deal with personnel matters, and to
24 25	the extent that we can draw a tie between what TBN is doing
د ع	and how NMTV goes about filling jobs, we would attempt to do

1	that.
2	MR. TOPEL: Okay. I, I hope, I just would hope the
3	Bureau would be open minded that, that testimony may show the
4	absence of a tie, but, but if that's the purpose, to show how
5	TBN does it again, you know, Your Honor, I wouldn't, I
6	wouldn't say that I think the existence of a similarity may or
7	may not be evidence of control. There can be similar
8	documents and practices and yet independent control, but I
9	have if the Bureau is going to tie, tie this up in the
10	manner that's described and this is intended to offer how TBN
11	does things, I, subject to the Bureau tying it up, I have no
12	objection.
13	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 258 is received.
14	(Whereupon, the document previously
15	marked for identification as Bureau
16	Exhibit 258 was received into
17	evidence.)
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 259?
19	MR. TOPEL: One second, Your Honor. Oh, yes,
20	Your Honor, this if I accomplish nothing over the last 6
21	days, I would like to accomplish excluding this exhibit. This
22	is employment data for the Odessa station, which is parallel
23	information to the information that we offered in TBF's direct
24 25	case, which was stricken on the ground that whether they
	actually hired minorities or not isn't relevant

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, let's find out if that's the
2	purpose. I doubt if that's the purpose.
3	MR. TOPEL: Oh, well.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I think it's signed by Jane Duff.
5	MR. TOPEL: Oh.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: That may have something to do with
7	it.
8	MR. SHOOK: Well, there's several aspects to this.
9	First, there's the interaction between Jane Duff and, and
10	Colby. Colby is filing this on behalf of National Minority
11	TV. Secondarily, there is Jane, who is involved in the, in
12	the process in terms of she's the person who signed the report
13	but, you know, there was another purpose that we had in mind
14	and we recognize that that purpose was covered by, you know, a
15	prior ruling of, of yours relative to, I guess, employment
16	reports that were offered in respect to the Portland station.
17	I believe, I believe the Portland annual employment reports
18	were stricken but that's, I mean, that's a little fuzzy in my
19	mind right now.
20	MR. COHEN: Correct, you're recollection is correct.
21	MR. TOPEL: Yes, they, they were and,
22	Your Honor, I, I
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: They may have been stricken for
24 25	different reasons than I think, I think it was offered at
J	that time for the purpose of showing the, the number of

1	minority employees, what have you.
2	MR. COHEN: Exactly, Your Honor.
3	MR. TOPEL: That's right.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Now, this may be all
5	MR. COHEN: I would object if this were being
6	offered for that purpose.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But apparently this is not being
8	offered for this purpose, this it's being offered to show
9	who made employment decisions, I gather, or who prepared
10	employment reports.
11	MR. SHOOK: At least to explore the process.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
13	MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, I would ask, then, that
14	page 3 be excluded because I do think that that was the
15	Bureau's purpose, to show the employment profile, and what we
16	did in our offer was show the employment profile of the entire
17	3-year ownership history of the station, which showed a, a
18	very significant increment of minority employment, and I
19	I'm not asking you to revisit your ruling. I, I would put
20	to I have no problem, certainly it's no secret on this
21	record and this is just one more document that Mr. May filed
22	documents of this nature, so that's certainly harmless. The
23	fact that Mrs. Duff signed the report I wonder if
24 25	Your Honor would consider receiving any of the reports that
<i>2.</i> J	TBF submitted if they were if it's relevant that Mrs. Duff

1	is signing them. But I, I have no objection to pages 1 and 2
2	for the purposes that the Bureau has stated; I do object to
3	page 3.
4	MR. SHOOK: The Bureau, the Bureau recognizes with
5	respect to page 3 that our offer of proof would have been
6	similar to the offer of proof that Mr. Topel was going to be
7	making with respect to the Portland station, that is that we
8	thought that there was some significance to the fact that here
9	was a station in a fairly heavy minority market, supposedly,
10	you know, filed on behalf of the station, or, I mean, an
11	entity that calls itself National Minority TV, and here we
12	have an absence of minorities in the full-time positions, but
13	I recognize that your
14	MR. COHEN: That's
15	MR. SHOOK: I recognize that your ruling relative
16	to the Portland information, you know, encompassed that, so I
17	guess with that in mind
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is this, is this the same community
19	or what?
20	MR. SHOOK: This is the Odessa station.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And that's Portland.
22	MR. COHEN: Now, Your Honor, they own two different
23	stations.
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand.
25	MR. COHEN: This what Mr. Shook is talking about

1	is Odessa; what Mr. Topel was dealing with was Portland.
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes, I understand.
3	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, we offered evidence for
4	both Odessa and Portland. What we showed for Odessa was a
5	steady increase in minority employment over the 3 years that
6	National Minority owned it, but you've ruled that out and I
7	just don't think that, that one report should be allowed in
8	when all of the other reports were excluded.
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I, I will receive the exhibit
10	and page 3 will just be received for the purpose that it was
11	filed and showing when it was filed, and not for the contents
12	of the document. But I'm not, at this point, precluding an
13	expiration of who made the decisions and how the decisions
14	were made on employment. We'll just see where it goes,
15	whether it deals with control. So I have I'm receiving
16	Bureau Exhibit 259 is received.
17	(Whereupon, the document previously
18	marked for identification as Bureau
19	Exhibit 259 was received into
20	evidence.)
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 265.
22	MR. TOPEL: This falls under the umbrella these are
23	the minutes of a TBN
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 265 is received
ر ع	(Whereupon, the document previously

1	marked for identification as Bureau
2	Exhibit 265 was received into
3	evidence.)
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: and 270 is a newsletter. What
5	is the relevant portions, Mr. Shook?
6	MR. SHOOK: Down at the bottom of the first page,
7	not the very last paragraph but the second-to-last paragraph,
8	there's a very brief discussion of the Portland situation; and
9	then on page 2, we have "Paul" as the author.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Anything else?
11	MR. SHOOK: That's it.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 270 is received.
13	(Whereupon, the document previously
14	marked for identification as Bureau
15	Exhibit 270 was received into
16	evidence.)
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 273.
18	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your, Your Honor, the objection I
19	have to this document is that during discovery in the case
20	there was some suggestion of wanting to interpret the words in
21	his memorandum. I have no problem with the document coming in
22	showing that, that Mr. Fountain sent a memo to
23	Lavera Johnson I don't know that she's defined anyplace
24	and that copies went to the people shown, or that it said what
25	it said, but there was to the extent that you're going to

1	be asked to draw inferences about what Mr. Fountain meant, and				
2	I'm focusing specifically on the words "transfer over," which				
3	is subject to various meanings, and Mr. Fountain hasn't been				
4	heard from that from on that subject, and I think it would				
5	be improper to, to draw inferences about that unless he were				
6	called to testify and explain what he meant, because "transfer				
7	over" has many meanings, as I'm sure you appreciate.				
8	MR. SHOOK: Our purpose here was not to question				
9	Mr. Fountain but our purpose was to question Mrs. Duff, who				
10	was a copy was a recipient of this first page of our				
11	exhibit; and the second page it appears that she has filled				
12	out a detailed position report; and the third page of the				
13	exhibit, she is sending a memo to Mr. Fountain.				
14	MR. TOPEL: Well, I have no problem as long as it's				
15	tied up through examination of Mrs. Duff. But, but I think it				
16	would be improper to, to use it to argue what Mr. Fountain was				
17	saying.				
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: What position did Mr. Fountain				
19	have?				
20	MR. TOPEL: He's the chief engineer of the Portland				
21	station.				
22	MR. COHEN: He's still there, Your Honor.				
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 273 is received.				
24 25	(Whereupon, the document previously				
	marked for identification as Bureau				

1	Exhibit 273 was received into		
2	evidence.)		
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 279. Do you object?		
4	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, I, I don't think this		
5	adds anything useful to the record. Neither Mr. Miller nor		
6	Mr. Fountain are here. I don't know what kind of		
7	interpretations could be made out of this document or whether		
8	it was sent, why it was sent. I don't think it		
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, are you going to examine		
10	Miss Duff about this document?		
11	MR. SHOOK: Yes, sir.		
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 279 is received.		
13	(Whereupon, the document previously		
14	marked for identification as Bureau		
15	Exhibit 279 was received into		
16	evidence.)		
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 280 is a newsletter.		
18	MR. TOPEL: Which one are we up to, Your Honor?		
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 280, a newsletter. What, what are		
20	the pertinent portions?		
21	MR. SHOOK: On the third page, Your Honor, the first		
22	portion of it, the stations that are listed; and the fourth		
23	page, the first portion, the reference to Reverend Hill.		
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 280 is received.		
25	(Whereupon, the document previously		

marked for identification as Bureau		
Exhibit 280 was received into		
evidence.)		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 301. Slowly but		
surely we're getting to the end of it.		
MR. COHEN: Hallelujah, Your Honor.		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: Not yet.		
MR. SHOOK: I'm sorry, Your Honor, did we discuss		
274?		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.		
MR. TOPEL: Okay, Mr. Shook is		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: That was a newsletter, yes.		
MR. TOPEL: Yes, that's been reviewed? Okay.		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: We're now at 301.		
MR. TOPEL: Yeah, I don't have anything on		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: This is an annual employment		
report.		
MR. TOPEL: Yes, it's the		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: Prepared by Jane Duff, at least		
signed by Jane Duff.		
MR. TOPEL: Yes, it's, it's the same		
JUDGE CHACHKIN: And my ruling will be the same.		
Bureau Exhibit 301 is received.		
(Whereupon, the document previously		
marked for identification as Bureau		

1	Exhibit 301 was received into			
2	evidence.)			
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 302 is a newsletter. What, what			
4	are the pertinent portions?			
5	MR. SHOOK: On page 2, the references to CET which			
6	appear in the second paragraph at the top of the page, and			
7	then we have "Paul," presuming Paul Crouch is the author;			
8	page 3, there are references to both Edie Hill and			
9	Phil Aguillar, and also there's a listing of stations.			
10	There's nothing on the fourth page that we're interested in.			
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 302 is received.			
12	(Whereupon, the document previously			
13	marked for identification as Bureau			
14	Exhibit 302 was received into			
15	evidence.)			
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 303 is the minutes of a meeting and			
17	that will also be received.			
18	(Whereupon, the document previously			
19	marked for identification as Bureau			
20	Exhibit 303 was received into			
21	evidence.)			
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 305 is another			
23	annual employment report, and the exhibit will be received.			
24 25	(Whereupon, the document previously			
<i>2</i>	marked for identification as Bureau			

1	Exhibit 305 was received into
2	evidence.)
3	MR. COHEN: Subject to the
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Subject to the same constraints,
5	yes. Bureau Exhibit 313 is a memo from Tim Ullery,
6	U-L-L-E-R-Y, to Pat St. John. Do you object to that?
7	MR. TOPEL: I think I is what 313?
8	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 313, yes.
9	MR. TOPEL: I have no objection subject to it being
10	tied.
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 313 is received.
12	(Whereupon, the document previously
13	marked for identification as Bureau
14	Exhibit 313 was received into
15	evidence.)
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 317? I will receive Bureau
17	Exhibit 317.
18	(Whereupon, the document previously
19	marked for identification as Bureau
20	Exhibit 317 was received into
21	evidence.)
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 321, is the purpose to reflect
23	Mr. Miller's position?
24	MR. SHOOK: It's not only to reflect Mr. Miller's
25	position but also Mr. Osborne's. I believe, and I'm speaking

1	off the top of my head right now, that Mr. Osborne was the	
2	station manager or person in charge at Trinity's Canton	
3	MR. COHEN: That's	
4	MR. SHOOK: station.	
5	MR. COHEN: That's correct.	
6	MR. SHOOK: And that this activity concerns NMTV's	
7	Columbus station.	
8	MR. TOPEL: I have no objection, Your Honor, to it,	
9	to it being tied up, tied up to the control question.	
10	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, can I speak to this? This	
11	raises a question that I this, this document is the type of	
12	document that came in earlier and I, I was reflecting on this	
13	at lunch and I want my position to be clear because I think	
14	that I was unintentionally foggy, but albeit foggy. If you	
15	recall, Your Honor, there was a series of documents from	
16	Mr. Miller to various person and it came they came in for	
17	them and took it, but I think it's important that the	
18	documents come in to show what they show. That's not and	
19	that there's no hearsay purpose. I'm not those documents	
20	were not coming in for the truth of the proposition asserted,	
21	but if Mr. Miller said in a memo, as I recall, "I told	
22	Mark Fountain to do this," I want the document in for the	
23	purpose that the memo says that he told him	
24 25	MR. TOPEL: May I	

MR. COHEN: -- not for the truth of it, but the fact

that he said that he told him to do it. 2 MR. TOPEL: Well, Your Honor, the problem --3 MR. COHEN: And I think that's -- if I can be heard, 4 and I think that's a completely proper and an appropriate 5 procedure because it's not coming in for the hearsay -- it's not coming in for hearsay and the document has been 6 7 authenticated the document came from the files. This is a 8 similar kind of a document, that's why I raised this. 9 MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, Your Honor, if I, if I may 10 be heard. The -- this document has not been received subject 11 to a limited purpose. It's been received subject to its relevance to control being tied up on examination. That's a 12 13 different matter. The point that Mr. Cohen is, is raising 14 related to a number of memoranda particularly concerning 15 Mr. Miller, Miller, that were unsigned memoranda that we 16 couldn't be sure he wrote and that, that although Mr. Cohen 17 had time with him during deposition, didn't ask him about, and 18 so we don't know the explanation. It's a lot -- it's, it's 19 very much like that Mark Fountain document that we talked 20 about, and those documents have come and gone. We had our 21 discussion on them, the, the purposes for which Mr. Cohen 22 wanted to offer them were stated at that time. I acceded to 23 their coming into the record, and I don't think it would be 24 very productive to go back and revisit all of those documents 25

because I'm getting the impression that Mr. Cohen now wants to

1	broaden the, the scope of the offer that he made.			
2	MR. COHEN: I want to clarify my remarks,			
3	Your Honor, and if it, if it amounts to you revisiting them,			
4	then I respectfully urge that you do, and I recognize that I			
5	brought this up perhaps at the wrong time, but at the			
6	conclusion of the Trinity admission, then I would respectfully			
7	ask you, if you think it's appropriate, to revisit those,			
8	those documents if that's necessary.			
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Which documents are they?			
10	MR. COHEN: I'll have a list for you.			
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, let's finish with this.			
12	Bureau Exhibit 321 is received.			
13	(Whereupon, the document previously			
14	marked for identification as Bureau			
15	Exhibit 321 was received into			
16	evidence.)			
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 323, which is a financial statement			
18	for TBN.			
19	MR. TOPEL: Yeah, Your Honor, we have no objection			
20	subject to it being tied.			
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 323 is received.			
22	(Whereupon, the document previously			
23	marked for identification as Bureau			
24	Exhibit 323 was received into			
25	evidence.)			

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Now we go on to Volume 6.	
2	Volume 6, 324 has been objected to. That's a financial	
3	statement for Community Educational Television.	
4	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor. In an off-the-record	
5	discussion, Mr. Shook has indicated to me that he intends to	
6	try to tie that up to something relevant to this proceeding,	
7	so without committing to whether or not he does that, I don't	
8	object to it subject to it being tied up.	
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 324 is received.	
10	(Whereupon, the document previously	
11	marked for identification as Bureau	
12	Exhibit 324 was received into	
13	evidence.)	
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Next objection is Bureau	
15	Exhibit 350.	
16	MR. TOPEL: Oh, Your Honor, I don't have that on my	
17	list.	
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: You don't object to 350?	
19	MR. TOPEL: I don't know, I have to look at it. I	
20	may, I may not.	
21	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, my notes reflect that	
22	Exhibit 339 was not ruled upon.	
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Let's see.	
24	MR. COHEN: 339 was received subject to	
25	MR. SHOOK: Then I just missed it.	

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 339 was received subject to it tied		
2	up.		
3	MR. SHOOK: Oh, okay.		
4	MR. TOPEL: Yes, and, and that I have the same,		
5	the same position on 350.		
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 350 is received.		
7	(Whereupon, the document previously		
8	marked for identification as Bureau		
9	Exhibit 350 was received into		
10	evidence.)		
11	MR. TOPEL: It should have been in that list.		
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The next one is 370.		
13	MR. TOPEL: Well, let me have one moment with this,		
14	Your Honor.		
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.		
16	MR. TOPEL: I have no objection subject to it being		
17	tied.		
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 370 is received.		
19	(Whereupon, the document previously		
20	marked for identification as Bureau		
21	Exhibit 370 was received into		
22	evidence.)		
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 372 is a newsletter.		
24	Would you indicate the relevant portion, Mr. Shook?		
25	MR. SHOOK: The listing of stations on page 4,		

1	Your Honor. Or, excuse me, it's page 5.	
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 372 is received.	
3	(Whereupon, the document previously	
4	marked for identification as Bureau	
5	Exhibit 372 was received into	
6	evidence.)	
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 384 is the minutes	
8	of a 1992 annual meeting of the boards of TBN and affiliates.	
9	The exhibit is received.	
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 390	
11	MR. TOPEL: Excuse me, Your Honor, which number did	
12	you just	
13	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 385.	
14	MR. TOPEL: 385.	
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.	
16	MR. TOPEL: All right, thank you.	
17	(Whereupon, the document previously	
18	marked for identification as Bureau	
19	Exhibit 385 was received into	
20	evidence.)	
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Next is 390, and that's a memo	
22	from	
23	MR. TOPEL: Yes.	
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Ben Miller to Paul Crouch.	
4 J	MR. TOPEL: I have no, no objection subject to it	

1	being tied	1.
2		JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, is that satisfactory to
3	the Bureau?	
4		MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I missed the
5		JUDGE CHACHKIN: 390, he says that he has no
6	objection	subject to being tied.
7		MR. SHOOK: That's fine, Your Honor.
8		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 390 is received.
9		(Whereupon, the document previously
10		marked for identification as Bureau
11		Exhibit 390 was received into
12		evidence.)
13		JUDGE CHACHKIN: 391 is the next one.
14		MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, may I have a minute
15	with that	one?
16		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
17		MR. TOPEL: I have no objection subject to it being
18	tied.	
19		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 391 is received.
20		(Whereupon, the document previously
21		marked for identification as Bureau
22		Exhibit 391 was received into
23		evidence.)
24 25		JUDGE CHACHKIN: 392?
23		MR. TOPEL: Same.